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## 1. Introduction

The Council's Children, Young People and Learning directorate aims to ensure that children, young people and adults achieve the best possible outcomes for their lives through education, advice and guidance, promoting lifelong learning and securing access to support and, where necessary, specialist placements.

The purpose of equalities monitoring is to ensure that the Council is providing a fair and equitable service to all residents. This report looks at outcomes for all stages of education from early years to adult learning.

Outcomes of education for children can be measured in a variety of different ways - e.g. in terms of physical, social/emotional well-being and educational attainment. For the purpose of this equalities report, outcomes are measured in terms of the latter; educational attainment at the end of each Key Stage including GCSE results.

Outcomes have been analysed in relation to end of key stage performance in tests and public examinations for the following equality groups (where possible):

- Sex
- Race
- National Curriculum Year Group (NCY) - relates to age
- Pupils in receipt of additional funding through the Pupil Premium grant.

The Pupil Premium grant was introduced in April 2011 and is allocated to schools to work with pupils who have been registered for free school meals at any point in the last six years. Schools also receive funding for children who have been looked after for more than 1 day, adopted from care or under special guardianship, and children of service personnel.

Outcomes in exclusions from school and adult education (Community Learning and Skills) are also reported.

The remaining protected groups will be considered for future reports when data is available.

## 2. Early Years

Table 1: Foundation Stage (age 5) results for Bracknell Forest for 2014 by sex
\% of children attaining the expected levels or above at the end of the Foundation Stage as measured by the Early Years Foundation Stage Profile (EYFSP) in Bracknell Forest in 2014

EYFSP data is collected every year in June/July. The data in the table below was collected in June/July 2014 which falls within the academic year 2013/14.

| EYFSP data for 2014 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area of Learning | All | Girls | Boys |
| Communication and <br> Language | 82 | 87 | 78 |
| Physical Development | 89 | 94 | 84 |
| PSED | 83 | 89 | 78 |
| Literacy | 71 | 78 | 65 |
| Mathematics | 80 | 83 | 77 |
| Understanding the | 87 | 91 | 82 |


| World |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expressive Arts and <br> Design | 90 | 95 | 85 |
| Average total points | 35.5 | 36.9 | 34 |
| Good Level of <br> Development (GLD) | $63 \%$ | $71.2 \%$ | $55.4 \%$ |

At the end of the Foundation Stage all children are assessed against a range of criteria and results have shown improving attainment once again in 2015 (see table below). The percentage of children attaining a Good Level of Development has risen by $10 \%$ since last year and is now $7.2 \%$ above the national figure, although these figures are yet to be confirmed. Data in the table below shows that the gender gap has stayed the same as last year and remains roughly in line with the national figure.

The gap between the attainment of children who receive additional funding (Pupil Premium Gap) and children who do not receive extra funding has closed by $2 \%$ this year to $20 \%$ which is now only $1 \%$ above the national figure. This shows that the additional funds are being spent wisely and that strategies put in place to support these vulnerable children are proving successful.

Table 2: EYFSP data for Bracknell-Forest 2013, 2014, 2015

| Academic years | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 / 1 3}$ <br> confirmed | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 1 4}$ <br> confirmed | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 / 1 5}$ <br> confirmed | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 / 1 5}$ <br> National scores |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Good Level of <br> Development | $58 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $73.2 \%$ | $66.3 \%$ |
| Average total <br> points | 34.5 | 35.5 | 36 | 33.8 |
| Pupil Premium gap | $26 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $20 \%$ <br> Not confirmed | $19 \%$ in 2014 <br> Not yet available <br> for 2015 |
| Inequality gap | $27.3 \%$ | $25.1 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $32.1 \%$ |
| Gender gap | $21 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ |

## 3. Key Stage 1 (Age 7)

Table 3: Key Stage 1 results by Sex in academic year 2013/14

|  | Average Point Score |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boys | Girls |
| Speaking/Listening | 15.3 | 16.3 |
| Reading | 16.3 | 17.4 |
| Writing | 14.5 | 16.3 |
| Mathematics | 16.4 | 16.5 |
| Science | 15.9 | 16.0 |

Average point scores are calculated by assigning a score to the national curriculum level (e.g. Level 3) attained by a pupil in the end of Key Stage 1 teacher assessments. This enables an average score for the whole cohort to be calculated.

Girls have traditionally achieved higher average scores than boys in reading and in writing. However, for this period, girls outperformed boys across all assessed areas.

Table 4: Key Stage 1 results by Race

| Number of pupils achieving Level 2+ in academic year 2013/14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading | Writing | Maths | Science | Speaking/Listening |
| White | 1016 | 993 | 1053 | 1060 | 1045 |
| Mixed | 67 | 67 | 67 | 69 | 67 |
| Asian | 71 | 71 | 72 | 71 | 66 |
| Black | 34 | 33 | 36 | 34 | 32 |
| Chinese | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Not known/ Preferred <br> not to say | 73 | 64 | 73 | 76 | 74 |
| All Pupils | 1261 | 1228 | 1301 | 1310 | 1264 |
| \% All White British | 76.05 | 76.38 | 75.94 | 76.03 | 77.93 |
| \% All BME pupils | 92.62 | 90.77 | 97.05 | 96.31 | 91.14 |

As the numbers for some races are very small, the average points score should be viewed with caution as small numbers can lead to large variations. However, results from this year show that more pupils from a Black and Minority Ethnic (BME) origin have achieved higher than they did during the 2012-13 academic year across all assessed areas.

The performance of pupils in receipt of Pupil Premium funding showed some improvement particularly in maths, however the target was not achieved and the pace of improvement will need to be accelerated.

Table 5: Key Stage 1 Performance of Pupil Premium pupils

|  | Narrowed by | Gap |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| KS1 Reading L2+ | $0.5 \%$ | $-12.7 \%$ |
| KS1 Writing L2+ | $1.0 \%$ | $-13.9 \%$ |
| KS1 Maths L2+ | $1.5 \%$ | $-9.7 \%$ |

## 4. Key Stage 2 (Age 10-11)

Table 6: Key Stage 2 results by Sex in academic year 2013/14

| Key Stage 2 test | Average Points |  | Average Level |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boys | Girls | Boys | Girls |
| Reading | 28.7 | 29.7 | 4.3 | 4.4 |
| Writing | 26.7 | 28.6 | 3.9 | 4.3 |
| Mathematics | 29.0 | 28.7 | 4.3 | 4.3 |

The average point score is calculated from the levels attained by pupils in the end of Key Stage 2 tests or teacher assessments, taken in May each year. The expected level is Level 4.

Girls continue to achieve better results in reading and writing than boys. This was also true for attainment in Maths. At Level 5, boys achieve better than girls in mathematics with $41 \%$ achieving a level $5+$ against $37 \%$ of girls. There were 642 boys and 585 girls in the cohort.

Table 7: Key Stage 2 results by Race (Average Point Score)

| Number of pupils achieving Level 4+ |  |  |  |  |  | \% achieving Level 4+ <br> \%Reading/ Writing/ <br> Maths |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race | Number | Grammar | Reading | Writing | Maths | 79 |
| White | 983 | 744 | 880 | 857 | 844 | 81 |
| Mixed | 42 | 49 | 58 | 57 | 57 | 85 |
| Asian | 68 | 56 | 64 | 64 | 62 | 76 |
| Black | 25 | 18 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 100 |
| Chinese | SUP | SUP | SUP | SUP | SUP |  |
| Not known/ <br> Preferred <br> not to say | 15 | 6 |  |  |  | 53 |
| All Pupils | 1227 | 921 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 78 |

*SUP - suppressed due to small numbers.
The attainment at Key Stage 2 in English and Mathematics for Black pupils reflects the national trend for the same group. Whilst a number of pupils in this cohort would have achieved in line with their expected levels, varied backgrounds, cultural impact and own (pupils') perceptions and aspirations for their learning are some of the biggest barriers to progression and attainment. A notable improvement was noted for pupils of Black African, Black Caribbean and any other Black origin (as compared to 2013).

The Virtual School for Vulnerable pupils works to empower teachers through training to have a more informed view of such challenges when working with pupils of all BME groups at different key stages. The team works closely with schools, pupils and their families and identifies effective learning opportunities. This forms part of our work with schools to help teachers to have a better cultural understanding of different families and to support both to have a closer link with each other.

The performance of pupils in receipt of Pupil Premium funding (226 pupils) at KS2 showed improvement, again particularly in maths. For reading, writing and maths combined the gap last year was $-26.2 \%$ and this has reduced to $-21.8 \%$ in 2015. We have not met our own ambitious target; however the evidence shows a significant shift in the right direction. Of seven LAC pupils, four achieved L4, with none achieving L5.

Table 8: Key Stage 2 Performance of Pupil Premium pupils

|  | Narrowed by | Gap |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| KS2 Reading L4+ | $4.2 \%$ | $-11.1 \%$ |
| KS2 Writing L4+ | $3.5 \%$ | $-15.2 \%$ |
| KS2 Maths L4+ | $4.4 \%$ | $-11.8 \%$ |
| GPS | $-0.7 \%$ | $-22.6 \%$ |
| Combined R,W,M L4+ | $4.4 \%$ (Target 6\%) | $-21.8 \%$ |

## 6. Key Stage 4 (GCSE)

Table 9: Key Stage 4 - GCSE results 2013/14 by Sex

| \% Achieving 5+ A*-C grades (including English and <br> Maths) at GCSE and equivalent for pupils at the end <br> of Key Stage 4 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Boys | $53.7 \%$ |
| Girls | $60.7 \%$ |

The proportion of boys achieving $5+A^{*}$ to C grade GCSEs is lower than that for girls. This difference is also seen regionally and nationally. Across the South East $63.9 \%$ of girls and $54.3 \%$ achieved $5+\mathrm{A}^{*}$ to C grades (incl. English and Mathematics) compared with $48.2 \%$ (boys) and $58.9 \%$ (girls) nationally.

Table 10: Key Stage 4 - GCSE results 2013/14 by Race

| \% Achieving 5+ $\mathbf{A}^{*}$ - $\mathbf{C}$ grades, (including English and Maths), at GCSE and equivalent <br> for pupils at the end of Key Stage 4 by ethnicity -2013/14 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bracknell Forest <br> Number | Bracknell <br> Forest <br> $\%$ | England <br> $(2013)$ <br> $\%$ | South East <br> $(2013)$ <br> $\%$ |
| White | 1109 | 54.73 | 60.4 | 62.0 |
| Mixed | 14 | 71.43 | 62.7 | 65.2 |
| Asian | 48 | 58.33 | 64.9 | 68.2 |
| Black | 22 | 54.55 | 58.7 | 62.0 |
| Chinese | 2 | 50 | 80.1 | 83.3 |
| Other | 6 | 33.33 |  |  |
| Refused | 5 | 60 |  |  |
| All pupils | 1206 | 54.98 | 60.8 | 62.5 |
| Source: NCER/ examination boards |  |  |  |  |

Broadly, the results in the key stages are similar for White pupils and those from Minority Ethnic groups. An improved picture has been noted across all BME groups this year in comparison with figures from last year. However, this could also be the result of relative small cohorts as this can have an impact on statistical data. The Asian cohort includes a number of pupils who joined their secondary school during, rather than at the start of, a key stage.

The performance of pupils in receipt of Pupil Premium funding (208 pupils) at KS4 showed improvement. For the percentage of young people obtaining 5 or more GCSE grades $\mathrm{A}^{*}-\mathrm{C}$ including English and mathematics, best entry, the gap last year was $-34 \%$, and has reduced to $-27.2 \%$ in 2015 . We have not met our target of $-22 \%$, but this narrowing of $6.8 \%$ is a significant improvement. In published figures however, which are for first entry, the gap has narrowed by $3.3 \%$.

Table 11: Key stage 4 Performance of Pupil Premium (PP) pupils

|  | 2015 |  |  | 2014 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PP | Non-PP | Gap | PP | Non- <br> PP | Gap | Narrowed <br> by |
| 5+A*-C incl. <br> E\&M first <br> entry results | $35.6 \%$ | $64.3 \%$ | $-28.7 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $-32 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| 5+A*-C incl. <br> E\&M best <br> entry results | $41.3 \%$ | $68.5 \%$ | $-27.2 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $-34 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ |

## 7. Exclusions

Where the behaviour of a pupil in school is unacceptable they may be excluded from a school, either temporarily or, in extreme cases, permanently. Exclusion data is analysed by sex, by age (via year group) and by ethnicity.

Approximately 17,000 children attend Bracknell Forest maintained schools.

Table 12: Permanent Exclusions 2011/12 to 2014/15

|  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total number of pupils | 28 | 5 | 1 | 2 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 20 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| Female | 8 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Year Group |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 5 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 6 | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| 7 | 4 | 0 |  |  |
| 8 | 2 | 0 |  |  |
| 9 | 7 | 0 |  |  |
| 10 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |
| Race of pupils excluded | White | White | White Other | White |
| Proportion of excluded pupils | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Proportion in school population | 83.2\% | 82.1\% | 5.1\% | 80.5\% |

The number of exclusions is particularly low in 2013/14 and 2014/15 as a result of schools having new ways to manage exclusions. It should be noted that the number of permanent exclusions is very small and this can lead to large swings in percentages. For this reason, the data should be viewed with caution. Historically more boys have been excluded than girls. The latest published figures from the Department for Education show that, nationally, the permanent exclusion rate for boys was higher than that for girls.

In terms of race, again with a relatively small data set, it is difficult to determine trends, but in 2011/12, 2012/13 and 2014/15 all permanently excluded pupils were of White British ethnicity. In 2013/14 the one child excluded was of White Other ethnicity.

## 8. Community Learning and Skills

Bracknell Forest Council's adult education provision is managed by the Community Learning and Skills Team. The service includes a broad programme of courses and workshops designed to develop skills for people aiming to increase their economic activity as well as a range of leisure and digital inclusion courses. Strong partnership working with organisations such as Bracknell Forest Homes, Involve, InnerSense, Bracknell and Wokingham Mencap and Community Council for Berkshire has enhanced the engagement of adults, who may not have participated previously, into learning.

## Access

Table 13: Adult Learners by Sex (April 2014 - March 2015)

| Gender of Adult Learners 2014/15 compared with Bracknell Forest adult population |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | \% | Bracknell Forest Adult population* \% | Variance |
| Female | 2,020 | 72\% | 50\% | +22\% |
| Male | 800 | 28\% | 50\% | -22\% |
| Total | 2,820 |  |  |  |

*ONS Mid-2014 estimates
The $72 \% / 28 \%$ split between female and male learners in Bracknell Forest broadly corresponds to outcomes for adult learning across the country. It has remained the same over several years.

Table 14: Adult Learners by Age band

| Age band of Adult Learners 2013/14 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adult <br> Learners | $\%$ | Bracknell Forest <br> Adult population* <br> $\%$ | Variance |
| $19-24$ | 98 | $4 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ | $-4.9 \%$ |
| $25-39$ | 864 | $31 \%$ | $29.7 \%$ | $+1.3 \%$ |
| $40-59$ | 1081 | $39 \%$ | $40.6 \%$ | $-1.6 \%$ |
| 60 plus | 668 | $24 \%$ | $20.8 \%$ | $-3.2 \%$ |
| Unknown | 82 | $3 \%$ |  |  |
| Total | 2,793 |  |  |  |

*ONS Mid-2014 estimates

The age band split corresponds broadly with the national picture with a larger proportion of learners in the 60+ category. There is also a larger proportion of 25-39 year olds in learning which could correspond to Jobseekers in the current economic climate.

Table 15: Adult Learners by Ethnicity

| Ethnicity of Adult Learners 2013/14 compared with Bracknell Forest <br> Population |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adult <br> Learners | $\%$ | Total Bracknell <br> Forest population* <br> $\%$ | Variance |
| Asian/Asian British | 129 | $8 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $+3 \%$ |
| Black/Black British | 56 | $3 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $+1.1 \%$ |
| Mixed | 26 | $2 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $+/-0 \%$ |
| White | 1,453 | $85 \%$ | $90.6 \%$ | $-5.6 \%$ |
| Other | 43 | $3 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $+1.6 \%$ |
| Total | 1,707 |  |  |  |

*National Population Census 27 March 2011
There is a higher proportion of Asian adult learners than in the general Bracknell Forest population. This is mainly due to focused projects, such as the European Integration Fund (EIF) which specifically funds learning opportunities for speakers of other languages, including English courses. In addition to the regular promotional mix (leaflets, web and emails), promotion to the BME population has proved most effective when conducted via community leaders, faith groups and via gatherings such as the English Language Café at the Open Learning Centre.

Table 16: Adult Learners by Disability

| Adult Learners 2013/14 by Disability (self declaration) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | $\%$ |
| Has a learning difficulty / disability | 666 | $24 \%$ |
| Does not have a learning difficulty / disability | 1,951 | $69 \%$ |
| Not declared | 207 | $7 \%$ |
| Total | 2,824 |  |

It is estimated that around $10 \%$ of the population have a disability. Therefore the table above suggests that there are a higher proportion of people with a self-declared disability using this service than in the population which is a positive.

## Outcomes

Outcomes for people enrolling in adult learning courses are measured in terms of whether learners have met the learning objectives. A proportion of courses: mathematics, English, and some work skills courses are accredited and learners gain a qualification.

Table 17: Levels of achievement by Sex

Adult Learners - \% of people enrolled who achieved their goals

|  | Enrolments | No. completed <br> courses | Achieved | \% Achieved |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | 4,431 | 3,861 | 3,893 | $87.9 \%$ |
| Female | 3,018 | 2,687 | 2,675 | $88.6 \%$ |
| Male | 1,413 | 1,174 | 1,218 | $86.2 \%$ |

Table 18: Levels of achievement by Age band

|  | Enrolments | \% Achieved |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| All | 4,431 | $87.9 \%$ |
| $19-24$ | 170 | $75.3 \%$ |
| $25-39$ | 1,164 | $88.9 \%$ |
| $40-59$ | 1,884 | $87.4 \%$ |
| 60 plus | 1,120 | $89.4 \%$ |
| Unknown | 93 | $88.2 \%$ |

Table 19: Levels of achievement by Ethnicity

|  | Enrolments | \% Achieved |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| All | 4,431 | $87.9 \%$ |
| Asian | 525 | $94.5 \%$ |
| Black | 99 | $74.7 \%$ |
| Mixed | 70 | $80.0 \%$ |
| Other | 154 | $92.9 \%$ |
| White | 3,582 | $87.2 \%$ |

Table 20: Levels of achievement by Disability

|  | Enrolments | \% Achieved |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| All | 4,431 | $87.9 \%$ |
| Has a learning difficulty / disability | 1,159 | $82.5 \%$ |
| Does not have a learning diff. / dis. | 2,888 | $89.4 \%$ |
| Not declared | 384 | $92.7 \%$ |
| Total | 4,047 |  |

The tables above show broadly similar levels of achievement across all groups. Variations are investigated, and often are as a result of lower numbers having a large influence on the average percentage.

## 9. Conclusion

The data highlights some differences in the achievement of different groups of pupils, students and learners across the different stages of education. Work continues to understand the reasons for, and address, these differential performances in the context of raising achievement for all.

There are approximately 2500 pupils in receipt of Pupil Premium attending Bracknell Forest schools and the additional funding they bring into the borough is in the region of $£ 3+\mathrm{m}$. The gap between their achievement and the achievement of other pupils is closing, but the pace of change needs to be accelerated. Some schools have had a significant impact on closing the gap in achievement, but in others there has been little change. This is a priority area for the Learning and Achievement branch with a detailed strategy which includes actions such as strengthening school leadership and governance as well as a range of activity which is specifically related to use of the grant.
10. Other Performance indicators related to specific groups

Table 21: Other Annual Performance indicators related to specific groups

| Ind. <br> Ref | Short Description | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2010/11 } \\ \text { year) } \end{gathered}$ | 2011/12 <br> (Academic year) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2012/13 } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { Academic) } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | 2013/14 <br> (Academic year) | 2014/15 <br> (Academic year) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NI 092 | Narrowing the gap between the lowest achieving 20 percent in the Early Years Foundation Stage Profile and the rest | 24.9\% | 25.4\% | 27.3\% | 25.1\% | 28\% |
| L153 | Looked after children reaching level 4 in English at Key Stage 2 | 100\% | 0\% | 50\% | 80\% | 57\% |
| L154 | Looked after children reaching level 4 in Maths at Key Stage 2 | 0\% | 0\% | 50\% | 80\% | 57\% |
| L155 | Looked after children achieving $5 \mathrm{~A}^{*}$-C GCSEs (or equivalent) at Key Stage 4 (including English and Maths) | 11\% | 0\% | 7\% | 13\% | 33\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NI} \\ & 102.1 \end{aligned}$ | Achievement gap between pupils eligible for free school meals and their peers - Key Stage 2 | 28.00\% | 18.00\% | 20\% | 26\% | n/a |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NI} \\ & 102.2 \end{aligned}$ | Achievement gap between pupils eligible for free school meals and their peers - Key Stage 4 | 24\% | 32\% | 32\% | 32\% | n/a |
| NI 104 | The Special Educational Needs (SEN)_non-SEN gap - achieving Key Stage 2 English and Maths threshold | 44.20\% | 48.20\% | - | - | - |
| NI 104 | The Special Educational Needs (SEN)_non-SEN gap - achieving Key Stage 2 Reading, Writing and Mathematics | - | - | 51\% | 56\% | n/a |
| NI 105 | The Special Educational Needs (SEN_non SEN gap -- achieving 5 A (star)-C GCSEs including English and Maths | 44.60\% | 59.0\% | 43.9\% | 42.4\% | n/a |
| NI 107 | Key Stage 2 attainment at Level 4+ for Black and minority ethnic groups in English and Maths | 77\% | 79\% | - | - | - |
| NI 107 | Key Stage 2 attainment at Level 4+ for Black and minority ethnic groups in Maths | - | - | 84.2\% | 86.7\% | 85\% |
| NI 108 | Key Stage 4 attainment for Black and minority ethnic groups (Annually) GCSE (Average point score) | 346 | 354 | 356 | 357 | 356 |
| NI 117 | 16 to 18 year olds who are not in education, training or employment (NEET) | 6.1\% | 5.6\% | 6.0\% | 4.2\% | 4.0\% |

